UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/424,431	03/16/2000	John W. Wong	287300022USA	7974
	7590 01/29/2008 ER, GILSON & LIONE	:	287300022USA 7974 EXAMINER MENDOZA, MICHAEL G ART UNIT PAPER NUMB: 3734	INER
P.O. BOX 1039	•			MICHAEL G
CHICAGO, IL	60610			PAPER NUMBER
	·		3734	
			•	
			MAIL DATE ·	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

AK

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Decrease to Bula 242 Communication	09/424,431	WONG ET AL.	
Response to Rule 312 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J. Hayes	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress –
1. $igotimes$ The amendment filed on $22 May 2007$ under 37 CFR	1.312 has been considered, an	d has been:	
a) 🔲 entered.			
b)	ting the scope of the invention.		
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed	after the payment of the issue	ee.	
Any amendment filed after the date the issue		ied by a petition under 37 CFR	1.313(c)(1)
and the required fee to withdraw the applicate	tion from issue.		
d) 🗵 disapproved. See explanation below.	·		
e) entered in part. See explanation below.			
This application was filed under 35 USC 371. It is the stage. The 120 or 365 benefit claim is not consistent to		98/10389 that has entered the	national
As an additional issue, the declaration submitted 11/23 complete this benefit claim the first line of the specifica 1.78(a)(4)-(5)	3/1999 claims benefit to provision ation should be amended to refe	nal application 60/063454. To rence the benefit claim. See 3	77 CFR
Additionally, on 12/06/04 applicant submitted an amenunder 35 USC 1.11(b). If applicant is trying to change done with a petition.	ndment to the specification stating the filing status from 35 USC 3	ng that the case was claiming p 71 to 35 USC 1.11(b) this shou	niority Ild be

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER